

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Assessing Soil Fertility Influenced by Land Use in Moche, Gurage Zone, Ethiopia

Tekli Abadeye¹, Teshome Yitbarek², Isreal Zewide^{3*}, Kibinesh Adimasu³

Abstract

One of the main reasons for Ethiopia's declining agricultural production is land use change under poor soil management practices. Hence, in order to evaluate the effects of various land use types on certain soil qualities, research was done on the soils of Cheha district, Gurage zone in Ethiopia's central highlands. Four different land use types (LUTs) (cultivated, enset, eucalyptus, and wild forest) were used for this study to assess and analyze their response to soil fertility. A total of 48 soil samples (24 undisturbed and 24 disturbed) was collected from the selected LUTs and two different soil depths (SDs) (0-20 and 20-40 cm) with three replications for the laboratory analysis. The results showed that most of the properties of soil physicochemical properties of soil were significantly affected by LUTs, SD, and the interactions. The result showed that the highest sand (43%), silt (46.5%), and clay (30.30%) fractions were observed under forest land (FL), enset farmland (ENFL), and cultivated land (CUL), respectively. Concerning the SD, higher silt (40.9%) and clay (28.3%) were found in the subsurface soils. Except for CUL, textural classes of all LUTs were loamy. The highest (1.37 g cm⁻³) bulk density was observed under the subsurface soils of CUL and the lowest (1.06 g cm⁻³) was in the surface soils of FL and ENFL. In contrast to bulk density, total porosity was highest (60.1%) under surface soils of FL and ENFL and the lowest (48.3%) value was observed under subsurface soils of CUL. The combination of two factors influenced the soil pH. The highest value (6.54) and lowermost (4.82) values were found under the surface soils of ENFL and subsoils of EUCL, respectively. Comparatively, the uppermost (7.48%) and lowermost (3.55%) values of organic matter were recorded under the surface soils of FL and subsoils of EUCL. The uppermost (0.37%) and lowermost (0.17%) values of total nitrogen were registered under surface soil and subsoils of FL and EUCL, respectively. The uppermost (22.69 mg kg⁻¹) value of Av.P was registered under superficial soils of ENFL and the lowermost (5.02 mg kg⁻¹) was obtained under a subsurface layer of EUCL. The uppermost (37.96 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) and lowermost (11.90 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) of CEC values were observed under the surface soils of FL and subsoils of EUCL. The uppermost value of exchangeable acidity (1.85 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) was recorded under soils of EUCL. This study showed that different LUTs and SDs have substantial impacts on the status of soil fertility. Soils of FL and ENFLs were relatively more fertile. Increasing eucalyptus tree cover on arable land and intensive farming severely impacted soil fertility which may have increased soil acidity. Appropriate land use and a variety of soil fertility management practices are crucial to address soil fertility loss and acidity issues.

Keywords: Land use types, Soil fertility status, Soil physicochemical properties.

¹Department of Natural Resource Management, College of Agriculture and Natural Resource Management, Welkete University, Welkete, Ethiopia.

²Department of Plant Sciences, College of Agriculture and Natural Resource Management, Welkete University, Welkete, Ethiopia.

³Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Mizan-Tepi University, Mizan-Aman, Ethiopia.

*Corresponding Author: Isreal Zewide, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Mizan-Tepi University, Mizan-Aman, Ethiopia, E-Mail: isrealzewdie@mtu.edu.et

How to cite this article: Abadeye, T., Yitbarek, T., Zewide, I., Adimasu, K. (2023). Assessing soil fertility influenced by land use in Moche, Gurage Zone, Ethiopia. *The Scientific Temper*, **14**(1): 80-92

Doi: 10.58414/SCIENTIFICTEMPER.2023.14.1.10

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None.

Introduction

Sustainable agricultural production has attracted attention worldwide due to soil degradation caused by inappropriate land use and poor management (Selassie and Ayanna, 2013). Soil quality can be rapidly degraded by inappropriate agricultural practices and land cover changes (Heluf *et al.* 2014; Arshad *et al.* 2010). The type of land use and the rate at which a land use type expands are believed to be affected by rapid population growth (Duguma *et al.* 2010). This could affect the soil properties of a given land if natural land uses are changed to artificial ones or vice versa. Additionally, intensified agricultural development could lead to severe degradation of land due to the loss of the natural environment. Ethiopia's economy depends heavily on agriculture, with 41% of GDP, 84% of exports, and 80% of employment coming from the sector (EEA, 2017) Ethiopian agriculture, however, is threatened by soil erosion, depletion

of organic matter, and soil nutrient removal (Elias, 2017). Due to land shortages in densely populated areas of the country, forest lands were converted into agricultural land in order to meet food demand (Beshir *et al.* 2015).

Different types of land use in Ethiopia have been investigated to evaluate the effects on soil properties (Yitaferu *et al.* 2013; Lemenih *et al.* 2005; Adugna and Abegaz, 2016). Some have compared the natural forest and woodland with artificial land uses (Yitaferu *et al.* 2013; Lemenih *et al.* 2005). Forest plantations and natural forests were also studied in terms of soil properties (Jahed *et al.* 2014). The soil properties of conserved areas, cultivated soils, plantations, and natural forests have been compared by some researchers. A comparison has been made between Eucalyptus' effect on soil properties before and after harvesting by Hailu *et al.* (2014). During the past five decades, Ethiopia's central highlands have experienced significant land use changes from natural to artificial ecosystems (Jaleta *et al.* 2016). Eucalyptus has rapidly adapted to the artificial ecosystem throughout Ethiopia's central highlands by taking over grasslands, woodlands, riverside wetlands, cultivated land, and degraded land (Fisseha *et al.* 2011; Jenbere *et al.* 2012). Farmers have been benefitted from eucalyptus, since it supplies household fuel wood, improves their income, contributes to the culture of the community, and provides environmental benefits (Lemenih *et al.* 2010). However, many people are still reluctant to accept its planting because they are concerned about its effects on water consumption, nutrient competitiveness, soil erosion, and land degradation (Kebebew and Ayele, 2010; Jagger and Pender, 2003).

In terms of sustainability, enset plays an important role in securing carbon and nutrients, protecting soils and controlling macroclimates, soil formation and water cycles (Senbeta *et al.* 2022). In another study, status of total nitrogen (0.23%), organic matter (2.41%), available phosphorus (8.52 mg kg⁻¹) and bulk density (1.22 g cm⁻³) in enset crop soil was higher than that of arable land (Kibebew *et al.*, 2022). However, the effects of land use types on soil, comparing the effects of natural forest and enset farm with the artificial land use (cultivated land and Eucalyptus woodlots) were less studied in the central highlands of Ethiopia and elsewhere. To support developing land use management alternatives, it is helpful to understand the impacts of different land use types on soil attributes. In order to evaluate the effects of various land use types on certain soil qualities in Ethiopia's central highlands, this study aimed to identify the effects of different land use types.

Materials and Methods

Description of the Study Area

The trial was carried out in Moche kebele (village), Cheha district, Gurage zone, central Ethiopia, which is found at 8° 00' 18.9"-8° 15' 28.53" N and 37° 35' 46.48"-38° 03' 59.59" E

and the elevation 900-2812 meter above sea level (m.a.s.l) (Bereket *et al.*, 2018; CWANRMO, 2020). The mean annual rainfall is about 1265.7 mm and the temperature ranges between 20 to 27°C (NMA, 2021). Cheha district is situated 180 km from Addis Abeba (the capital).

The topography of the district is characterized by plains, hills, steep slopes, very steep slopes, gentle slopes, very flat slope (i.e., 60% plains and flat slopes, and 40% steep or mountainous) (CWPCEDO, 2020). Moche kebele is located on the upper slope of the top sequence (CASCAPE, 2015). The agro-ecology of the district is 20% highlands (2300–3200 m.a.s.l), 78% midlands (1500–2300 m.a.s.l) and 2% lowlands (500–1500 m.a.s.l) (Bereket *et al.*, 2018; Kibebew *et al.*, 2022). The area receives a bimodal rainy season, i.e., about 70–90% of the total annual rainfall that takes place from June to October and a small rainy season from March to May.

Soils in the Cheha district are dominated by Chromic Luvisols, well-drained, very deep (>2 m), red color, well-structured, sandy clay loam to clay soils with a general increase in clay contents from topsoil to subsoil. The soil color of the area ranged from red to reddish brown (high elevation areas) through light brown (midland areas) to dark (lowland areas) (CASCAPE 2015).

The major crops grown in the area root and tubers, including enset (*Enset ventricosum*), potato (*Solanum tuberosum*), fruits (banana, citrus, papaya, mango and avocado), stimulants such as khat (*Catha edulis*) and coffee (*coffee arabica*), onion (*Allium cepa*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), barley (*Hordem vulgare*) teff (*Eragrotis tef*) and maize (*Zea mays*).

Description of the Land use Type (LUT) and Soil Sampling

To choose the sampling site, earlier soil sample gathering, field notes, and preliminary assessment of the sites were carried out by visit and visual observation (Table 1). Four different land use types, namely, cultivated land (CL) (annual crop or cereals), enset farmland, Eucalyptus plantation (*Eucalyptus globulus*), and natural forest dominated by *Juniperus procera* were selected for the experiments. The necessary information was collected from farmers about the chosen land types' past and present land use. Selected land uses were categorized into different blocks following natural slope variation: upper slope (15–25%) lower (3–8%) and middle (8–15%). Soil samples were gathered at two specific pits (0 to 20 cm and 20 to 40 cm) from every LUT and three slope positions (lower, middle and upper). Using the diagonal soil sample taking method, 15-20 subsamples (spots) were randomly taken from every LUT and two respective depths within three slope positions to make one representative merged soil pattern. Overall 24 merged soil samples (four LUTs*three replications (slope position)*two depths) were collected from the selected LUTs for the analysis of soil physicochemical properties (soil particle

distribution, exchangeable acidity, pH, exchangeable calcium, exchangeable sodium, magnesium, exchangeable potassium, available phosphorus, and CEC).

Soil Analysis

Soil analysis was done in the laboratory by following the proper protocols as mentioned by several researchers. Soil texture by using the hydrometer method (Day, 1965), bulk density by dividing the oven dry mass (105°C) by the volume of the core, soil organic carbon (OC) (Walkley and Black method) (Nelson and Sommers, 1982), total nitrogen (TN) (Kjeldhal method) (Bremner, 1996), soil pH by a pH meter, electrical conductivity, exchangeable cations (Ca²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Na⁺) determined using 1 M ammonium acetate at pH of 7.0 (Grant, 1982), cation exchange capacity (CEC) by summing up the charge concentrations of Ca²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Na⁺, available potassium (K) by flame photometer with dissolved 0.3728 g of dried KCl in one liter of extracting solution (Mehlich, 1953), exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) by dividing exchangeable sodium by soil CEC and multiplying by 100, available sulfur of the soil by the Mehlich-3 multinutrient extraction method (Mehlich, 1984) and available phosphorus by the Bray 2 method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945).

Statistical Analysis

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to determine variations in soil parameters among land use types. Treatment means comparison was determined using the least significant difference (LSD) at 0.05 level of significance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). For the analysis of data, the SAS software (version 9) was used.

Results and Discussion

Physicochemical Soil Properties Influenced By Land Use Type

Distribution of Soil Particle Size

The main effect of sand fraction was highly ($p < 0.01$) affected by LUTs and soil depth (SD). But it was not influenced by the interaction of LUTs with soil depth ($p > 0.05$). Silt fraction

was highly significantly ($p < 0.01$) affected by LUTs though it was not affected by SD and the interaction of LUTs with SD ($p > 0.05$). However, clay fraction was not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affected by LUT, SD, and the interaction of the two factors (Table 2). Considering the two SDs, higher (36.4%) and lower (30.8) sand portions were obtained within the superficial (0–20 cm) and sub-superficial (20–40 cm) soils. In contrast, higher silt (40.9%) and clay (28.3%) and lower silt (39.3%) and clay (24.3%) fractions were found in the sub-superficial and superficial soils, respectively. The current result is agreed with Bekana *et al.* (2022) who showed that the sand fraction was non-significant for soil depths, whereas the clay and silt contents were statistically similar for soil depths. Similarly, Mengistu *et al.* (2017) also described the highest sand fractions under FL than CL, whereas, Habtamu (2018) reported the maximum mean sand content on the surface layer of FL shadowed by the superficial coating of feeding terrestrial. Similarly, Mengistu *et al.* (2017), Abera and Kefeyalew (2017) stated that the clay content increases with increased depth because of cultivation for a long period under CUL. Tufa *et al.* (2019) also found greater silt and clay have been registered in the sub-superficial (20–40 cm) soil whereas, the greater sand content at the superficial (0–20 cm) soil coating is because of clay particle removable and leaching into the subsurface soil through clay migrate method.

The silt content was highest in ENFL (46.5%) and lowest (31.5%) in FL and the highest clay (30.30%) was recorded in CUL compared to the other three LUTs (Table 3). The result is similar to the findings of Fentie *et al.* (2020) who reported higher clay content under CUL in relation to forestry and feeding terrestrial. In all the LUTs, except sand, the contents of clay and silt fractions have been increased with depth. This is because of the downhill movement of clay particles. Except for CUL and subsurface soils, the result shows that the textural lessons of the soils were loam. Even though soil texture was the inherent soil physical properties, LUTs might have contributed indirectly to the change in soil texture due to removal by a pedologic process such as erosion, deposition, and weathering (Hadiro *et al.*, 2021).

Table 1: Land use pattern of Cheha district

No	Land uses	Coverage(ha)	Percent
1	Annual crops	17657.5	30.8
2	Perennial crops	27325	47.7
3	Grazing land	613.5	1.0
4	Natural and man-made forest land	9284	16.2
5	Degraded land	60	0.1
6	Potentially uncultivated land	1180	2.1
7	Others	1195	2.1
	Total	57315	

Sources: - CWANRMO, 2020 unpublished annual report.

Table 2: Soil parameters and methods of analysis with respective reference

Parameter	Physicochemical properties of the soil	
	Method of analysis	References
pH	pH meter	Tekalign (1991)
A.P(mg kg ⁻¹)	Olsen's method	Olsen <i>et al.</i> (1954)
Total N%	Kjeldahl method	Murphy (1968)
OC%	wet oxidation method	Walkley and Black (1934).
Ca cmol(+) kg ⁻¹	EDTA method	Chapman, 1965
Mg cmol(+) kg ⁻¹		
K cmol(+) kg ⁻¹	using flame photometer	Chapman, 1965
Na cmol(+) kg ⁻¹		
CEC cmol(+) kg ⁻¹	Titration method	Rowell (1994).
Pd(gcm ⁻³)	2.65 g cm ⁻³	Hillel D (2003)
Bd(gcm ⁻³)	Core sampler method	Hillel D (2003)
Tp%	$f = [(1 - \rho_b/\rho_s) * 100]$. f = porosity, ρ_b = bulk density and ρ_s = particle density	Hillel D (2003)
Texture	Bouyoucos hydrometric method	Rowell (1994)

Table 3: Main effect of LUTs and SDs on soil particle distribution of the soil

Land use types (LUTs)	Selected soil physical properties			Textural class
	Soil particle distributions (%)			
	Sand	Silt	Clay	
CUL	26.50 ^b	43.20 ^{ab}	30.30 ^a	CL
ENFL	26.20 ^b	46.50 ^a	27.30 ^{ab}	L
EUCL	38.7 ^a	39.3 ^b	22.00 ^b	L
FL	43 ^a	31.5 ^c	25.5 ^{ab}	L
LSD _(0.05)	5.01	5.91	7.87	
Soil depth (SD)				
0-20cm	36.40 ^a	39.30 ^a	24.30 ^a	CL
20-40cm	30.80 ^b	40.90 ^a	28.30 ^a	L
LSD _(0.05)	3.54	4.18	5.56	
CV (%)	12.06	11.90	24.19	
SE(±)	1.80	1.43	1.30	

Main effect means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $p < 0.05$. LSD=list significant difference; CV = coefficient of variation; SE = standard error, LUT = land use type; SD = soil depth, CL = clay loam and L = loam.

Bulk Density

The highest bulk density (1.37 g cm⁻³) was detected under the subsoils of CUL and the lowest (1.06 g cm⁻³) was recorded on the surface soils of FL and ENFL (Table 3). Using Hazelton and Murphy's (2007) bulk density classification, >1.9 g cm⁻³ was classified as extremely high, 1.6–1.9 g cm⁻³ as medium, 1.3–1.6 g cm⁻³ as medium and 1–1.3 g cm⁻³ as very low. This might be due to often a tendency for bulk density values to rise with depth, as the effects of cultivation and organic matter content reduce and the reason for the lowest bulk density on surface soils of FL and ENFL could be the highest OM content. Another reason may be excessive plowing, which frequently quickly slackens the plowed soil coating, whereas compressing the coating underneath and depletion of OM increases bulk density (Negasa *et al.*, 2017). In addition, raindrops underground during a prolonged period of

unbroken farming were also contributing to the rise of bulk density since raindrops influence soil compactness by breaking it down (Wubie *et al.*, 2020). Jaleta (2020) found a similar result that showed the highest bulk density was found in the CUL at both Abechikeli Mariam and Aferfida Georgis, Achefer district, northwestern Ethiopia. Also, Tufa *et al.* (2019) and Weldesemayat and Nandita (2020) found that the highest BD on CUL than the adjacent land uses (forest, grass, grazing land, and Eucalyptus woodlot) and the lowest value was obtained from under soils of forest land.

Total Porosity

Total porosity (f) was significantly affected ($p < 0.05$) by an interaction of LUTs and SDs (Table 3). The highest (60.1%) and lowest (48.3%) values of f were recorded in the upper layer of FL and ENFL and subsoil CUL soil of the experimental site.

When soil porosity increases, it means the soil has greater aggregation, better-growing conditions, and can aerate well enough to support microorganisms. The higher result of f shows relatively FL and ENFL were well aerated and suitable for plant root penetration and microorganisms under the site's soils. The result of the present study is in line with the result reported by Weldesemayat and Nandita (2020) who described the highest and lowest total porosity under natural forest and agricultural (cultivated) lands compared to other adjacent lands (bamboo forest and degraded forest). In contrast to pb, total porosity was decreased with SD under all LUTs. Achalu (2019) finding also indicated low OM content caused a decrease in f in the CUL and subsurface soils in the Nitosols of the Bako area. The reason for the highest mean value under FL and ENFL might be relatively higher OM content, a household refusal for ENFL, and microbial activities. Generally, this trial indicated that the overall porosity of the soil of Moche has been affected by different land use types and depths.

Soil Reaction ($pH-H_2O$)

The soil $pH-H_2O$ (1:2.5 soil to water ratio) value has been highly affected by LUTs ($p < 0.01$), SD ($p < 0.01$) and the interaction of LUTs by SDs ($p < 0.05$) (Table 4). The highest pH(6.54) was recorded in the uppermost and lowest (4.82) registered underneath the top or sublayer soils of ENFL and EUCL, respectively. The higher pH value in ENFL might be a result of the application of house refuse, wood ashes, and manure that cause a higher value of the exchangeable base.

This result agrees with the result of Fentie *et al.* (2020) who obtained the highest pH value underneath the ENFL. Similarly to a current study, Belay *et al.* (2021) reported lower pH values underneath the soil of eucalyptus and cultivated areas in the western Gurage watershed, south-central Ethiopia. Plants might be taking more basic cations than usual as soils in eucalyptus plantations were more acidic. Likewise, Blay *et al.* (2021) and Kibebew *et al.* (2022) also found the lowermost value and strong acidic pH under soils of CUL. This is because of anthropogenic factors like the

removable essential nutrients during crop harvesting and erosion from the CUL. In general, when the SD increases the value of the pH decreases in all types of land use. This is because as SD increases, the soil's organic matter content decreases, leading to the decrease of soil pH from top to down layer.

Organic Carbon (OC)

Organic carbon is significantly ($p < 0.05$) affected by the interaction effects of LUTs with SDs. The highest OC was reported in FL at the surface and lowest in EUCL at the subsurface level (Table 5). According to Hillel (2003), more organic carbon content in the topsoil of forest land makes soils loose, porous, and well-aggregated, thereby reducing bulk density. This implies that the forest soils have no excessive compaction or restriction to root development. Greater soil OC content (%) was observed in the case of forest land due to the presence of more litter fall, and decomposition of a large amount of root biomass and dead roots in the case of forest land compared to eucalyptus land. The value of soil OC decreased with depth in each land use, possibly due to the presence of less biomass and biologically active microorganisms for decomposition in the lower depth of the soil profile. The current result is in line with the findings of Isreal *et al.* (2018) who reported that forest land had the highest organic carbon (4 and 2.8%) whereas eucalyptus land (2.5 and 2.1%) had less on the surface and subsurface, respectively.

Organic Matter (OM)

Organic matter content was significantly ($p < 0.05$) influenced by the interaction of LUTs with SDs. Considering the collaboration response of LUTs by SDs, the highest (7.48%) value of OM content was registered under superficial (0-20 cm) soil of FL followed by ENFL (7.11%) and the lowest (3.55%) OM content was registered at the sub superficial (20-40 cm) soil of EUCL (Table 5). According to EthioSIS (2014), OM is rated very low (2%), low (2-2%), optimum (3-6%), and high (7-8%). Based on these ratings, except for the top soils

Table 4: Interaction effects of LUT by SD on bulk density and total porosity of the soil

Land use type (LUT)	Bulk density gcm^{-3}		Total porosity (%)	
	Soil depth		Soil depth	
	0-20cm	20-40cm	0-20cm	20-40cm
Cultivated	1.14b ^c	1.37 ^a	56.70 ^{bc}	48.30 ^d
Enset	1.06 ^d	1.14 ^c	60.10 ^a	56.86 ^b
Eucalyptus	1.10 ^{cd}	1.23 ^b	58.37 ^{ab}	53.70 ^c
Forest	1.06 ^d	1.09 ^{cd}	60.10 ^a	59.0 ^{ab}
LSD(0.05)	0.08		3.05	
CV (%)	4.3		3.08	
SE(±)	0.02		0.84	

Interaction effect within a column specific soil parameter followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different from each other at $p < 0.05$; LSD = least significant difference; CV = coefficient of variations SE = standard error.

of ENFL and FL the range of OM qualifies optimum range but the surface soils of ENFL and FL qualify as the high range. Under soils of all LUTs, OM content decreased with increasing with SD. The cause for the higher mean value of OM content on the surface soils might be higher plant leaf, litter, root biomass, and microbial activities that involve in the decomposition process than the subsurface layer. This outcome is in line with the findings of Eyayu and Mamo (2018) and Mulugeta (2018) who reported the highest OM content on the top layer of FL and enset land is increased compared to adjacent land. The organic matter content of the eucalyptus land is less than the ENFL, this is because on the ENFL, the decomposition rate of enset residue is faster than on eucalyptus leaves (Jaleta, 2020).

Total Nitrogen (TN)

Analysis of variance demonstrated that the TN was highly affected by LUTs ($p < 0.01$), SD ($p < 0.01$) and their interaction ($p < 0.05$). Considering the interaction of LUTs by SDs, the highest TN (0.37%) was obtained at the superficial (0–20 cm) soils of the FL followed by the ENFL (0.35%) at the same depth (Table 5). In contrast, the lowest TN (0.17%) value was detected in the sub-superficial (20 to 40 cm) soils of the EUCL. The current result is in line with Mengestu *et al.* (2017), Sudarshan *et al.* (2018) and Tufa *et al.* (2019) who recorded the highest value of TN on the top soils of forest land that is because of the high amount of organic matter on forest land as a result of leaves and stem residues. As per the ranking of total nitrogen, $>0.5\%$ very great, 0.25 to 0.5% great, 0.15 to 0.25% average, 0.05 to 0.15% little and $<0.05\%$ as very little (Hazelton and Murphy, 2016). According to the N status rating, surface soils (0–20 cm) of FL and ENFL were considered high, and the rest were under average (0.15–0.25%).

Carbon to Nitrogen Ratio (C:N)

Carbon to nitrogen ratios of the soils was not significant ($p > 0.05$) for LUTs, soil deepness, and their interactions (Table 7).

The value of C:N on different LUTs was 11.68, 11.65, 11.54, and 11.51 under CUL, FL, ENFL, and EUCLs, respectively.

Available Phosphorous (Av.P)

Av.P was significantly ($p < 0.05$) affected by the interaction of LUTs with SDs (Table 5). The highest Av.P (22.69 mg kg⁻¹) and the lowest (5.02 mg kg⁻¹) amount was obtained at the top soil (0–20 cm) of ENFL and the subsoil layer of EUCLs, respectively (Table 5). The current study's findings showed that LUTs influenced Av.P except in the top soils of ENFL and FL. The lowermost value of Av.P content underneath EUCL and CULs was probably due to low soil pH value and the highest exchangeable acidity and aluminum value under EUCL which may result in fixation problems. The reason for the higher Av.P content under ENFL with the rest LUTs could be due to the decomposition effect of enset residue that leads to a conducive environment for microbial activity that increases soil pH (Kibebew *et al.*, 2022). This is in line with Bereket *et al.* (2018) who indicated the highest value of Av.P underneath enset farmsteads, followed by maize and grassland soils.

The Av.P decreased with increasing depth under soils of all LUTs. This is agreed with the result of Eyayu and Mamo (2018) which showed the decrease in Av.P by 3.75% with increasing SD. Lower soil pH value in the subsurface soils, soil acidity, depletion of nutrients by crop, and decline in soil OM with depth might be the cause of the reduction of the av. P status in the layer of the subsoil of the studied area. According to Hazelton and Murphy (2016), soil available P rated as <5 mg kg⁻¹ very little, 5 to 9 little, 10 to 17 middle, 18 to 25 great, and >25 great. Based on the above rating, soils of CUL and EUCL were qualified low, FL and subsoils of ENFL were qualified medium and surface soils of ENFL were qualified high status.

Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)

The status of the cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the soils was highly ($p < 0.01$) influenced by LUTs and SDs interactions

Table 5: Interaction effects of LUT by SD on pH, OC, OM, TN, and av.P of soil

Land use types (LUTs)	pH		OC		OM		TN		Av.P	
	SD (cm)		SD(cm)		SD(cm)		SD (cm)		SD(cm)	
	0-20	20-40	0-20	20-40	0-20	20-40	0-20	20-40	0-20	20-40
CUL	5.54 ^b	5.13 ^{bc}	2.79 ^b	2.27 ^{cd}	4.78 ^b	3.91 ^{cd}	0.23 ^b	0.19 ^{cd}	9.27 ^{de}	7.4 ^e
ENFL	6.54 ^a	5.15 ^{bc}	4.11 ^a	2.28 ^{cd}	7.08 ^a	3.95 ^{cd}	0.35 ^a	0.19 ^{cd}	22.69 ^a	16.97 ^b
EUCL	5.28 ^{bc}	4.82 ^c	2.55 ^{bc}	2.01 ^d	4.39 ^{bc}	3.47 ^d	0.22 ^{bc}	0.17 ^d	7.57 ^e	5.02 ^f
FL	5.64 ^b	5.45 ^b	4.29 ^a	2.14 ^d	7.39 ^a	3.70 ^d	0.37 ^a	0.18 ^d	12 ^c	10.6 ^{cd}
LSD _(0.05)	0.54		0.36		0.63		0.03		2.19	
CV (%)	5.71		7.89		8.05		8.28		10.92	
SE(±)	0.11		0.01		0.21		0.01		1.16	

Interaction effect within a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different from each other at $p < 0.05$; LSD = least significant difference; CV = coefficient of variation; SE = standard error; OM = organic matter; OC = organic carbon; TN = total nitrogen; av.P = available phosphorus and pH = power of hydrogen LUT = land use type, CUL = cultivated land, ENFL = enset farm land, EUCL = eucalyptus land, FL = forest land and SD = soil depth.

(Table 6). The highest CEC ($37.96 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) was obtained at the topsoil of the FL, while the lowest ($11.90 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) was detected in the subcoating of the EUCL (Table 6). It is generally true that clay and colloidal OM can absorb and maintain positively charged ions. Accordingly, soils containing high clay and OM contents have high CEC. The cause for the highest value of CEC on the top layer (0-20 cm) of FL is probably due to higher OM content, the existing pH range, low erosion on the surface of the forest, and prevention the basic cations from erosion. This is in line with the result of Belay *et al.* (2021) who obtained the highest value of CEC on the surface soils of FL. In the study conducted by Mulugeta (2018), the highest CEC value was recorded on soils of FL rather than the adjacent other LUTs (cultivated, grazing, and grasslands) and it was due to the presence of high OM under FL and also the findings of Woldemariam *et al.* (2020) indicated that the CEC of soil was higher in FL related with the adjacent grazing and CULs. Similar to the results of many authors, considering the interaction effects of LUT by SD, the current study indicated that the values of CEC were affected by LUT and SDs.

The advanced cation exchange capacity was registered in forest land with advanced organic matter. Under CUL the value of cation exchange capacity was increased with SD. This could be due to the migration of basic cations and the incorporation of OM during tillage practices (Mathewos *et al.*, 2022). As indicated by Landon (1991) the topsoil having a cation-exchange capacity of $<5 \text{ (cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1})$ is classified as very little, 5-15 ($\text{cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) little, 15-25 ($\text{cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) intermediate, 25-40 ($\text{cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) great and $>40 \text{ (cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1})$ very great. Based on these rating, the status of CEC of has been qualified from low to high. The top and bottom soil layers of FL and surface soils of ENFL were qualifying for high, the top soils of EUCL and the sublayer soils of ENFL

and CUL were qualified medium, and the top and bottom soil layers of CUL and EUCL were qualified in low range. The reason for the low CEC on soils of EUCL might be the high uptake nutrient demand and depletion of positive cations by eucalyptus trees (Belay *et al.*, 2021). A great CEC is deliberated as advantageous as it donates to the ability of soils to maintain plant nutrient cations. Based on the above result of CEC growing of eucalyptus plantations on the lands that could be used for crop growing may compute with basic cations and affect the status of soil fertility and crop productivity. In addition, deforestation and conversion of land from forestry to cropland starved of good management magnifies soil decrease. Consequently, the current trial's finding showed that the FL and ENFL cation exchange capacity was significantly higher than other adjacent LUTs and relatively more fertile than soils of CUL and EUCL.

Percent Base Saturation (PBS)

PBS was not significantly ($p>0.05$) influenced by LUTs, SDs and with their interaction.

Basic Exchangeable Cations (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ , Na^+)

Exchangeable Calcium (Ex. Ca^{2+})

Replaceable Ca significantly ($p<0.01$) influenced the LUT and SD interactions (Table 6). The highest calcium ($12.2 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) was observed at the superficial (0 to 20 cm) soil layer of the FL, and the lowest ($4.26 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) was recorded at the sub-superficial coating of the EUCL. This result agrees with those obtained by Daniel (2020) who reported that forest land had the highest exchangeable calcium (20.5 and 21.5 meq/100 g soil), while Eucalyptus woodlot soils had the lowest (17.5 and 17.15 meq/100 g soil) in both upper and lower layers, respectively the status of exchangeable Ca under soils of the studied area qualified under low to high

Table 6: Interaction effects of LUT by SD on exchangeable Ca and CEC on the soil

LUT	$\text{Ca (cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1})$		$\text{CEC (cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1})$	
	Soil depth (cm)		Soil depth (cm)	
	0-20	20-40	0-20	20-40
CUL	5.23 ^d	8.30 ^{ab}	13.83 ^c	17.55 ^c
ENFL	10.30 ^a	8.10 ^{abc}	27.89 ^b	24.17 ^b
EUCL	5.53 ^{dc}	4.26 ^d	16.63 ^c	11.90 ^c
FL	12.20 ^a	9.17 ^b	37.96 ^a	27.17 ^b
LSD _(0.05)	2.72		5.22	
CV (%)	22.21		15.16	
SE(±)	0.49		1.47	
	<i>p value</i>			
LUTs	0.002 ^{**}		0.0001 ^{***}	
SD	0.35 ^{NS}		0.025 [*]	
LUT*SD	0.03 [*]		0.026 [*]	

Interaction effect within a column and followed by similar letter(s) have not significantly different from each other at $p<0.05$; Ca = calcium and CEC = cation exchange capacity

range. Ca in surface soils of FL and ENFL were high and the sub-layer soils of EUCL had low status of exchangeable Ca.

Exchangeable Magnesium (Ex.Mg²⁺)

Exchangeable magnesium content was significantly different ($p < 0.01$) by the main effect of SD (Table 7). It was not significantly influenced by LUT and their interaction. The cause for the decrease in the value of Mg with SD might be the reduction of OM content with SD. A higher (2.56 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) value of Mg was detected on the surface than in subsurface soils (1.98 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹). The cause for the decrease in the value of Mg with SD might be the reduction of OM content with SD. This result is similar to the finding of Yadeta *et al.* (2019), who found high amount of replaceable Mg under enset fields.

Exchangeable Potassium (Ex.K⁺)

Replaceable K content was significantly ($p < 0.01$) influenced only by LUTs (Table 7). The highest (3.36 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) value was obtained in the ENFL and the lowest one (0.91 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) in the CUL. The highest K content in the ENFL could be interrelated with the decomposition of enset leaves residue and wood ash in the study area. The current result is in consistent with the findings of Fentie *et al.* (2020) who indicated the tendency for enset farmland to have the highest concentration of K followed by grassland and then maize fields. Muhammad *et al.* (2017) recorded the different concentrations of K in the leaf samples of various species of enset and mentioned that enset had more K than *Enset brucei* and *E. abyssinica*. The level of replaceable K is rated as 0-0.2 very little, 0.2-0.3 low, 0.3-0.7 moderate, 0.7-2 greater, and >2 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹ (Hazelton and Murphy, 2007). Based on these ratings very high (3.36 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) K was recorded under the soils of ENFL.

Exchangeable Sodium (Ex.Na⁺)

The status of Na was highly influenced ($p < 0.01$) by LUTs and SDs (Table 5). The highest Na content (0.88 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) was reported in the FL and the lowest (0.52 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) was in the CUL. Generally, underneath CUL except for Ca the value of the rest three basic cations was recorded as the lowest mean value. The major reasons for low Na in the CUL are low recycling of plant deposits in the soil, very little application of element stimulants, constant harvesting and soil destruction, which reduces the basic cations (Belay *et al.*, 2021). A higher status of Na was observed on subsoil (0.83 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) than the surface (0.64 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) soil layer. This is agreed with Mengistu *et al.* (2017) who obtained the highest and lowest replaceable Na under surface soils of FL and CULs. Similarly, Eyayu and Mamo (2018) described the higher replaceable Na in the subsurface soils. As indicated by FAO (2006), the rating of sodium is <0.1 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹ very little, 0.1-0.3 little, 0.3-0.5 moderate, 0.5-1 great and >1 very great. Hence, the status of Na on the soils of Moche was characterized as high.

Exchangeable Acidity (Ex.A)

The result showed that replaceable acidity was significantly ($p < 0.01$) influenced by the main effects of LUTs and SDs (Table 8). The highest mean value of exchangeable acidity was obtained under EUCL (1.85 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) and CUL (1.33 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹). Regarding the soil depth, highest value (1.29 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹) of replaceable acidity was recorded under the subsoil layer than on the surface (1.04 cmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹). The highest status of replaceable acidity under EUCL showed the acidifying consequence of the eucalyptus tree. The existence of a high level of soil response on the eucalyptus farmstead is being accredited to the absorption of further basic cations into the biomass of the trees and littler invest through its foliage droplet (Bereket *et al.*, 2018). The current

Table 7: The main effects of LUTs and SDs on exchangeable basic cations, C:N and PBS on the soils

Land use types (LUTs)	Exchangeable basic cations (cmol ₍₊₎ kg ⁻¹)			C:N	PBS (%)
	Mg	K	Na		
CUL	1.88 ^a	0.91 ^b	0.52 ^c	11.68 ^a	59 ^a
ENFL	2.63 ^a	3.36 ^a	0.85 ^{ab}	11.54 ^a	63 ^a
EUCL	2.05 ^a	0.95 ^b	0.69 ^{bc}	11.51 ^a	57.6 ^a
FL	2.52 ^a	1.00 ^b	0.88 ^a	11.65 ^a	68.6 ^a
LSD _(0.05)	0.75	0.58	0.18	0.24	11.21
<i>Soil depth (SD)</i>					
0-20cm	2.56 ^a	1.74 ^a	0.64 ^b	11.64 ^a	57.1 ^a
20-40cm	1.98 ^b	1.37 ^a	0.83 ^a	11.54 ^a	56.9 ^a
LSD _(0.05)	0.53	0.41	0.12	0.17	7.82
CV (%)	26.82	30.30	19.89	1.72	14.67
SE(±)	0.16	0.24	0.05	0.04	2.8

Main effect means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other at $p < 0.05$. LSD=list significant difference; CV = coefficient of variation; SE = standard error, Mg= magnesium; K= potassium; Na= sodium; C: N = carbon to nitrogen ratio, PBS = percent base saturation.

Table 8: The main effects of LUTs and SDs on ExA, ExAl and ExH of soil.

LUTs	ExA	ExAl	ExH
CUL	1.33 ^b	0.69 ^b	0.63 ^{ab}
EUCL	1.85 ^a	0.90 ^a	1.0 ^a
LSD _(0.05)	0.33	0.16	0.43
Soil depth (cm)			
0-20	1.04 ^b	0.48 ^a	0.59 ^a
20-40	1.29 ^a	0.57 ^a	0.72 ^a
LSD _(0.05)	0.24	0.12	0.31
CV (%)	23.2	26.4	30.4
SE (±)	0.13	0.07	0.09
p value			
LUTs	0.0001 ^{***}	0.0001 ^{***}	0.04 [*]
SD	0.04 [*]	0.12 ^{NS}	0.22 ^{NS}
LUT*SD	0.97 ^{NS}	0.63 ^{NS}	0.89 ^{NS}

Main response means within a column and followed by the similar letters are not statically different from each other at $p < 0.05$. ExA = Exchangeable acidity, Ex. Al = exchangeable aluminum and Ex. H = exchangeable hydrogen.

result is in agreement with those obtained by Deressa (2022) who reported the higher replaceable acidity originating in the eucalyptus plantation soil.

Exchangeable Aluminum (Ex.Al)

The results revealed that exchangeable aluminum (Ex.Al) was highly significant ($p < 0.01$) by the main effects of LUTs (Table 8). The highest Ex. Al ($0.90 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) was recorded under EUCL followed by CUL ($0.69 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$). In regard to SD, the highest value ($0.57 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) of Ex Al were registered under the subsoil layer than the surfaces ($0.48 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$). Higher soil acidity in cultivated land showed that intensive cultivation, removal of crop residues and continuous use of acid-forming inorganic fertilizers on acid soils might have aggravated soil acidity. The current result is in line with the findings of Yadeta *et al.* (2019) who recorded the highest Exchangeable Al ($3.2 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil) in the eucalyptus land and lowest ($2.5 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil) in cultivated land.

Exchangeable Hydrogen (Ex.H)

The result showed that Ex.H was statistically ($p < 0.05$) influenced by LUTs however, not influenced by SDs and the interaction of the two factors (Table 8). The higher ($1.0 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) mean value was obtained under soils of EUCL followed by CUL ($0.63 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$). Similarly, Isreal *et al.* (2018) reported higher exchangeable hydrogen ($1.06 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) in eucalyptus land whereas lowest was recorded ($0.6 \text{ cmol}_{(+) } \text{ kg}^{-1}$) in cultivable land.

Conclusion

The soil analysis results indicated that different LUTs and SDs have an impact on the physical and chemical properties

of soils. The findings indicated that the least average value of soil properties like, organic matter, organic carbon, total nitrogen, available phosphorus, pH, cation exchange capacity, calcium, C:N, percentage base saturation, and exchangeable acidity were observed under the soil of EUCL followed by CUL. Conversely, almost all soil chemical properties were the highest under soils of FL followed by ENFL. Regarding soil SDs, the higher average value of organic matter, organic carbon, total nitrogen, available phosphorus, cation exchange capacity, calcium, magnesium potassium, percentage base saturation, C:N, sand content, and pH were found to be on the surface soil (0-20 cm) but the CEC and Ca were increased with depth only under CUL. Contrarily, the silt and clay content values, bulk density, Na, and exchangeable acidity increased with depth from 0-20 cm to 20-40 cm. The FL and ENFL soils had relatively higher fertility levels, whereas the CUL and EUCL soils had relatively low fertility levels. There should be great attention to improve soil nutrient status and managing soil acidity on cultivated lands by the concerned bodies and the farmers. Due to the strong soil acidity problem, there might be the fixation of problem P with Al and the unavailability of essential plant nutrients under soils of CUL. To solve this problem, soil management practice should focus on managing soil acidity problems by applying lime. Increasing the coverage of eucalyptus plantations to arable land may lower soil fertility status by lowering soil pH. So, plantation sites should be far from arable land and the governing bodies need to create awareness among the farmers about the impact of eucalyptus and try to control inappropriate planting sites of eucalyptus trees. It is important to strengthen local norms and awareness of the society to conserve and sustain the natural forests.

References

- Aduigna A and Abegaz A, 2016 "Effects of land use changes on the dynamics of selected soil properties in northeast Wellega, Ethiopia," Soil, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 63–70.
- Abate Feyissa Senbeta, Getachew Sime and Paul Struik, Manuel Tejada (Reviewing editor) (2022) Enset farming system– a resilient, climate-robust production system in South and South-Western Ethiopia, Cogent Food and Agriculture, 8:1, DOI: 10.1080/23311932.2022.2074626
- Safadoust, A., N. Doaei, A. A. Mahboubi, Long-term cultivation and landscape position effects on aggregate size and organic carbon fractionation on surface soil properties in semi-arid region of Iran, *Arid Land Research and Management*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 345–361, 2016.
- Abdel Rahman, A.E. Mohamed, H. Rehab., and M.H .Y. Taher. Soil fertility assessment for optimal agricultural use using remote sensing and GIS technologies. *Applied Geomatics* 13 (4): 605-618.
- Abdi, A., N. Dechassa., S. Gebeyehu., and Y. Alemayehu. 2018. Characterization of the soil of Jijiga plain in the Somali regional state of Ethiopia. *East African Journal of Sciences* 12(1): 1-10.

- Abdissa, B., K. Kibebew, Y. Markku. And B. Tesfaye. 2018. Effects of lime, vermicompost, and chemical Phosphorus fertilizer on nominated properties of acid of enbatu district, western highlands of Ethiopia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*, 06 (7):2319-7706.
- Abera, D., and A. Kefeyalew. 2017. Assessment of Soils Physicochemical Properties as affected by various Land Uses in Bedele Area in Ilubabor Zone, Southwestern Ethiopia. *Agriculture Innovations International Journal and Research*. 5(4):627-636.
- Abera, W., and M. Assen. 2019. Dynamics of selected soil quality indicators in response to land use/cover and elevation variations in Wanka watershed, northwestern Ethiopian highlands. *Ekológia* 38(2) 126-139.
- Aboytu, S. 2019. Soil Reaction and its amendment practices in Ethiopia: A Review. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*. 07(11):1429-1440.
- Abu, R. G. 2021. Characterization of Soils of Jello Chancho Watershed: The Case of Liban District, East Shewa Zone Ethiopia. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management* 12 (4):143-158.
- Achalu. 2019. Impacts of land use type on selected soil properties: The case of dire enchini district west swewa zone oromia regional state. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, 19 (5): 372-385. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.ajeaes.2019.372.385.
- Adimassu, Z., S. Langan., and J. Barron. 2018. Highlights of soil and water conservation investments in four regions of Ethiopia, 182. *International Water Management Institute (IWMI)*. Kefeyalew, A.
- Ahmad, D., F. Hafeez., A. Atif. B. K. R. Hakeem., H. Soliman., S. M. Pasha., I. Khan., and B. AZ. Amin. Changes in Land Use Systems Alter the Phosphorus Nutrition and Associated Soil Fertility Status." *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* 29 (6). 3975-3982.
- Ahmad, W., F. Khan., M. Sharif., and M. D. J. Khan. 2019. Agricultural land management of eroded soil to restore productivity, organic matter (OM) stock and physical properties." *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 35(4): 1144-1154.
- Ali, M., F. Khan., W. Ahmad. and I. Khan. 2017. Optimizing farmyard and poultry manures co-applied with NPK for improved yield and soil fertility of water eroded land. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 33(3): 419-425. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.sja/2017/33.3.419.425>
- Ali, S., AR., and S. Ali. 2019. Impact of the built environment on land use of rapidly growing tehsil Takht Bhai, District Mardan. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 35(3): 966-975.
- Amanuel, W., F. Yimer., and E. Karlton. 2018. Soil organic carbon variation concerning land use changes the case of Birr watershed, upper Blue Nile River Basin, Ethiopia. *Journal of Ecology and Environment* 42(1):1-11.
- Ashebir, W., M. Cotter., G. Kelboro., and W. Dessalegn. 2018. Land use and land cover changes and their effects on the landscape of Abaya-Chamo Basin, Southern Ethiopia, and Land. 7:1.
- Arshad, Y., Moon S., and M. Z. Abdin, 2010. Sulfur-a general overview and interaction with nitrogen," *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 523-529,
- Assefa, F., E. Elias., T. Soromessa., and G. T. Ayele. 2020. Effect of changes in land-use management practices on soil physicochemical properties in Kabe Watershed, Ethiopia. *Air, Soil and Water Research* 13:1178622120939587.
- Ayene, B., M. A. Tadesse., K. Kibret., and A. Melese. 2018. Chemical forms of phosphorous and physicochemical properties of acid soils of Cheha and Dinsho districts, southern highlands of Ethiopia." *Environmental Systems Research* 7(1):1-15.
- Aynalem, A., 2017. Population distribution: Ethio Demography and Health Organization.
- Azadi, A., and S. Shakeri. 2020. Effect of various land uses on potassium forms and some soil properties in Kohgiluyeh and Boyer-Ahmad Province, Southwest Iran." *Iran Agricult Res*, 39:121-33.
- Bekana, B. T., M. G. Tolera., and F. B. Chalchisa. 2022. Effects of Land Use Land Cover and Slope Gradients on Soil Fertility at Kori Sub-Watershed, East Wollega, Ethiopia. DOI: Addis Ababa University. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7483-64>.
- Bremner J. M, 1996. "Nitrogen total," in *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: Chemical Methods, SSSA Book Series 5*, D. L. Sparks, Ed., pp. 1085-1122, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, USA,
- Belachew, G., and F. Pella. 2019. Evaluation of Threats Influencing Ginger production and its treatments in Cheha District of Luke Kebele, Gurage Zone, Ethiopia. *Asian J. Applied Scie*, 13 (3): 125-131.
- Belay, Z., B. Warkineh., D. Teketay., M. Woldetsadik. 2021. Land use and land cover changes are driven by the expansion of eucalypt plantations in the Western Gurage Watersheds, Central-south Ethiopia. *Trees, Forests and People*, 5: 10087 [journal homepage](http://journalhomepage.com):
- Beshir S, Lemeneh M., and Kissi E, "Soil fertility status and productivity trends along a toposequence: a case of gilgel gibe catchment in naddaassendabo watershed, southwest Ethiopia," *International Journal of Environmental Protection and Policy*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 137-144, 2015.
- Black C. 1965. *Soil Analysis Methods, Part I*. American Society of Agronomy, Madison.
- Bray R. H and Kurtz L. T, 1945. "Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils," *Soil Science*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 39-46,
- Bouyoucos, G. H. 1951. A recalibration of the hydrometer for making Soil mechanical analysis. *Journal of Agronomy* 43: 434-438.
- Bufebo, B., and E. Elias. 2020. Effects of land use/land cover changes on selected soil physical and chemical properties in Shenkolla watershed, south-central Ethiopia. *Advances in Agriculture*. 8:5145483 Hindawi.
- Capacity Building for Scaling up of evidence-based Best Practices in Agricultural Production of Ethiopia (CASCAPE) 2015. Characterization of agricultural soils in cascape intervention Woredas in southern nation's nationalities people regional state (SNNPRS).
- Chapman H. D. 1965. The capacity of Cation Exchange by ammonium saturation. 891-901p. In: C. A. Black (ed.). *Methods of Soil Analysis. Agron. Part II*, No. 9, Am. Soc. Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cheha Woreda Agricultural and Natural Resource Development Offices (CWANRMO), 2020. Annual unpublished report.
- CHWAOR (Cheha Woreda Agricultural Office Report). 2016. Cheha Woreda Agriculture and Natural Resource Development Department Office Report, Guraghe Zone, Ethiopia; Cheha Woreda Agricultural Office: Imdibir, Ethiopia.
- Dagnachew. M., Moges A. Kebede A, Abebe. 2020. Effects of soil and water conservation measures on soil quality indicators: the case of Geshe sub-catchment, Gojeb River catchment, Ethiopia. *Appl Environ Soil Sci*. 2020:1868792.

- Dagnachew, M., A. Moges., A. Kebede., and A. Abebe. 2020. Effects of soil and water conservation measures on soil quality indicators: the case of Geshy sub-catchment, Gojeb River catchment, Ethiopia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*.
- Dahir, Y.A., T. M. Derege., and S. W. Tadeos. 2022. Variability of soil chemical properties in lower Wabishebele Sub-Basin in Somali Region South-eastern Ethiopia, as influenced by land use and land cover. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 18(2):153-161.
- Daniel Jaleta Negasa 2020 Effects of Land Use Types on Selected Soil Properties in Central Highlands of Ethiopia *Applied and Environmental Soil Science* 2020:1-9
- Day P. R., "Particle size analysis," in *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods*, C. A. Black, Ed., pp. 545–566, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, USA, 1965.
- Delelegn, Y. T., W. Purahong., A. Blazevic., B. Yitaferu. 2017. Tesfaye Wubet, Hans Göransson, and Douglas L. Godbold. Changes in land use alter soil quality and aggregate stability in the highlands of northern Ethiopia. *Scientific Reports* 7(1):1-12.
- Deressa, A., M. Yli-Halla., M. Mohamed., and L. Wogi. 2020. Exchangeable aluminum as a measure of lime requirement of Ultisols and Alfisols in humid tropical Western Ethiopia. *Net Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 8 (3):46-58.
- Duguma, L. Hager, H and M. Sieghardt, 2010. "Effects of land use types on soil chemical properties in smallholder farmers of central highland Ethiopia," *Ekologia*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1–14,
- Didar , A., Farhan, Hesham F Alharby , Atif A. Bamagoos, Khalid Rehman Hakeem , Mona H. Soliman , Sahab Masood Pasha1 , Imran Khan , Bilal AZ Amin1 , Faridullah1. 2020 . Changes in Land Use Systems Alter the Phosphorus Nutrition and Associated Soil Fertility Status. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* 29(6): 3975-3982. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.sja/2016/32.4.282.288>.
- EEA/EEPRI, *Report on the Ethiopian Economy: Challenges of Sustaining Ethiopia's Foreign Exchange Earnings from Exports and Remittances*, , 2017. Ethiopian Economic Association (EEA)/Ethiopian Economic Policy Research Institute (EEPRI), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- Egboka, N.T., O. Fagbola., U.N. Nkwopara., N.H. Okoli., A.I. Afangide., and T.V. Nwosu. 2022. Density of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and nutrient status of soils in selected land use types and soil depths. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 38(2): 633-647. DOI <https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.sja/2022/38.2.633.647>.
- Elias, E 2017. "Characteristics of nitisol profiles as affected by land use type and slope class in some Ethiopian highlands," *Environmental Systems Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 20,
- Erkossa, Teklu, Fanuel Laekemariam, Wuletaw Abera, and Lulseged Tamene. Evolution of soil fertility research and development in Ethiopia: From reconnaissance to data-mining approaches. *Experimental Agriculture* 58 (2022).
- Ethiosis (Ethiopia Soil Information System). 2014. Status of soil fertility and fertilizer recommendation atlas for Tigray regional state, Ethiopia.
- Farah, N., I.A. Khan., A.A. Abro., J.M. Cheema., and M. Luqman. 2022. The nexus of land use changes and livelihood transformation of farmers at rural-urban interface of Pakistan. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 38(1): 46-59.
- Fentie, S. Fekad., K. Jembere., E. Fekadu., and D. Wasie. 2020. Land use and land cover dynamics and properties of soils under different land uses in the tejibara watershed, Ethiopia. *The Scientific World Journal*.
- Fetene, E. Molla., and M. Y. Amara. 2018 The effects of land use types and soil depth on soil properties of Agedit watershed, Northwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Science and Technology* 11(1): 39-56.
- Feyisa, D., E. Kissi., and Z. Kebebew. 2018: Rethinking Eucalyptus globulus Labill. Based land use systems in smallholder farmers' livelihoods: a case of Kolobo Watershed, West Shewa, and Ethiopia. *Ekológia* 37. 1: 57-68.
- Fisseha G. Gebrekidan., H. Kibret K., Yitaferu B, and B. Bedadi, 2011 "Analysis of land use/land cover changes in the debre-mewi watershed at the upper catchment of the Blue Nile basin, northwest Ethiopia," *Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 184–198.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. FAO. 2006. Scaling soil nutrient balances. Fertilizer and plant nutrition bulletin. No 15. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Foth H.D .1990. Fundamentals of soil science, 8th Ed. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, USA. 360.
- Geremew, D., 2017. Review on Nutrient Management, Cycles, Flows and Balances in Different Farming Systems, *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 2021 "Social and Ecological System Dynamics", Springer Science and Business Media LLC,
- Gomez K. A and A. A. Gomez, *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research*, John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 1984.
- Habtamu A .2018. Responses of Land Use Systems on Soil Fertility at Antra Watershed, Chilga District, Northwestern Highlands of Russia. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research*. 6(6): 194-208. <http://www.hrpub.org>.
- Hadaro, M., T. Ayele, S. PDatt, and R. Teshome. 2021. Soil Properties as Affected by Soil Conservation Practices and Soil Depths in Uwite Watershed, Hadero Tunto District, Southern Ethiopia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*.
- Haregeweyn, N., A. Tsunekawa., J. Poesen., M. Tsubo., D. T Meshesha., A.A. Fenta., J. Nyssen., and E. Adgo. 2017 Comprehensive assessment of soil erosion risk for better land use planning in river basins: A case study of the Upper Blue Nile River. *Science of the Total Environment*, 574: 95-108.
- Hazelton, P., B. Murphy. 2016. *Interpreting Soil Test Results: What Do All the Numbers Mean? 2nd Edition*. Csiro publishing, Australia. 186.
- Heluf, G., Bobe B, and Enyew A, 2014. "Fertility status of soils under different land uses at wujiraba watershed, northwestern highlands of Ethiopia," *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 410–419,
- Hillel, D. 2003. *Introduction to environmental soil physics*. Elsevier.
- Isreal Z, Tana T, Wogi L, Mohammed A (2018) Soil Morphology, Physico-Chemical Properties and Classification of Typical Soils of Abelo Area Masha District South Western Ethiopia. *Adv Crop Sci Tech* 6: 341. DOI: 10.4172/2329-8863.1000341
- Jaleta, N., Daniel. 2020. Effects of land use types on selected soil properties in Central Highlands of Ethiopia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science* .2020.
- Jahed R. R., Hosseini S. M, and Kooch Y, 2014. "The effect of natural and planted forest stands on soil fertility in the Hyrcanian region, Iran," *Biodiversitas, Journal of Biological Diversity*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 206–214,

- Jagger P. and. PenderJ, "The role of trees for sustainable management of less favored lands: the case of Eucalyptus in Ethiopia," *Forest Policy and Economics*, vol. 5, pp. 83–95, 2003.
- Jaleta, D. MbilinyiB., MahooH, and. LemenihM, 2016. "Evaluation of land use/land cover changes and eucalyptus expansion in Meja Watershed, Ethiopia," *Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1–12,
- JenbereD., LemenihM, and KassaH., 2012. "Expansion of eucalypt farm forestry and its determinants in ArsiNegelle district, south Central Ethiopia," *Small-Scale Forestry*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 389–405,
- Jobira, D. 2018. Evaluation of physicochemical properties of soil under different land use types at wuyegose sub watershed, North Shoa Zone of Oromia Region, Ethiopia.
- Khurshid, M., M. Nafees., Inam-ur-Rahim., and W. Rashid. 2016. Impacts of agriculture land use changes on mobile pastoral system in Naran valley of Western Himalayan Northern Pakistan. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 32(4): 282-288.
- Kibebew, S., B. Bedadi., T. Erkossa., F.Yimer., and L.Wogi. 2022. Effect of Different Land-Use Types on Soil Properties in Cheha District, South-Central Ethiopia. *Sustainability* 14 (3): 1323.
- Landon J.R. 1991. Booker tropical soil manual: A Handbook for Soil Survey and Agricultural Land Evaluation in the Tropics and Subtropics. Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex, New York. 474.
- LemenihM., KarltonE, and M. Olsson, 2005. "Assessing soil chemical and physical property responses to deforestation and subsequent cultivation in smallholders farming system in Ethiopia," *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, vol. 105, no. 1-2, pp. 373–386,
- Lemenih, M 2010 "Growing eucalypts by smallholder farmers in Ethiopia," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Eucalyptus Species Management, History, Status and Trends in Ethiopia*, L. Gil, W. Tadesse, E. Tolosana et al., Eds., Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.,
- Mathewos B.W., W. Haile.Woldeyohannis., and F. k Yimamau. 2022. Effects of minimum tillage and liming on maize (*Zea mays L.*) yield components and selected properties of acid soils in Assosa Zone, West Ethiopia. *Journal of agriculture and food research*, 8: 100301.
- MehlichA., 1953. *Determination of P, Ca, Mg, K, Na, and NH₄*, North Carolina Soil Test Division. Mimeo, Raleigh, NY, USA,
- MehlichA, 1984 "Mehlich3. soil test extractant: a modification of mehlich 2 extractant," *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 1409–1416,
- Mengistu C., K, Kibret., and T, Fikadu. 2017. Impacts of various Land Use Types and Soil Depths on Selected Soil Properties Related to Soil Fertility in Warandhab Area, Horo GuduruWallaga Zone, and Oromiya, Ethiopia. *Int J Environ Sci Nat Res.* 4 (2):68-78.
- Miheretu, B. A, and A. A Yimer. 2018. Spatial variability of selected soil properties concerning land use and slope position in Gelana sub-watershed, Northern highlands of Ethiopia. *Physical Geography*, 39 (3): 230-245.
- Ministry of Agriculture .1998. Agro-ecological zone of Ethiopia: natural resource management and regulatory department with the support of Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technical Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH, Ministry of Agriculture, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Mohammed U., Kibebew K, Muktar M and Alemayehu D .2018. Assessment of soil fertility and Mapping of Becheke Sub-Watershed in Haramaya District of East Hararghe Zone of Oromia Region, *Ethiopia Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 8(20).
- Mohammed, U., K, Kibret. M. Mohammed., and A. Diriba. In Haramaya District of East Hararghe Zone of Oromia Region, Ethiopia." *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 8(20).
- Muhammad Y.M., A.M. Zeeshan., M. I .Sarwar., K, Shehzad ., M. Luqman., And S M, Shahzad . 2020. Differential Impact of Land-Use, Season and Soil Characteristics on the Abundance of Edaphic Springtails (Insecta: Collembola) and Mites (Arachnida: Acari) *Pakistan J. Zool.* 52(4) 1483-149.
- Mulugeta, T. 2018. Impacts of Land Use Types on Selected Physico-chemical Properties: The case of Kuyu district, Central Highlands of Ethiopia. MSc. thesis submitted to Debre Birhanu University, Debre Berhane, Ethiopia.
- Nabiollahi, K., F. Golmohamadi., R. Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi., R. Kerry., and M. Davari. 2018. Assessing the effects of slope gradient and land use change on soil quality degradation through digital mapping of soil quality indices and soil loss rate. *Geoderma* 318:16-28.
- Naga TameneendalamaweMamaru A. MogesYadeta S. Kebede Bekalu M. AlehegnBerhanu G. Sinshaw. 2021. Potential soil estimation for conservation planning, upper blue Nile basin Ethiopia. *Environmental Challenges* 5; 10024.
- Negasa, T., H. Ketema., A, Legesse, M. Sisay., and H, Temesgen. 2017. Variation in soil properties under different land use types managed by smallholder farmers along the top sequence in southern Ethiopia. *Geoderma* 290:40-50.
- Nelson D. W and. SommersL. E, "Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter," in *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, A. L. Page, R. H. Miller, and D. R. Keeney, Eds., pp. 539–579, Amer Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, USA, 1982.
- Nigussie, Y., Elias, G. L. Feyisa .2022. Detection of land use/land cover and land surface temperature change in the Suha Watershed, North-Western highlands of Ethiopia. *Environmental Challenges* 7: 100523.
- Okubay G .2018. Comparing different land use types about their influences on soil reaction and fertility in northern Ethiopia. *Journal of the Drylands*; 8(2): 802-810.
- Okubay G., Heluf G and Tareke B. 2018. Soil fertility characterization in vertisols of southern Tigray, Ethiopia. *Adv Plants Agric Res.* 2(1): 1–7.
- Olsen S.R., Cole C.V., Watanabe F.S and Dean L. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA Circular.* 939: 1-19.
- Rhoades .1982. The pH of the soil was measured in the supernatant suspension of a 1: 2.5 (soil to water ratio) using a pH meter.
- Rowell, DL .1994. *Soil science: methods and applications*. Addison Wesley Longman Limited, Boston, 350.
- Sabiela, F., F.K. Jembere., E.Fekadu., and D.Wasie . 2020. Land Use and Land Cover Dynamics and Properties of Soils under Different Land Uses in the Tejibara Watershed, Ethiopia *Scientific World Journal*,
- Sabina Y.MD. P. A .E Jahan, M.d. A.Mola, Md. Harun or Rashid A.K.M.MominullIslam and s. chungopas, 2020. Effects of land use on organic carbon storage potential of soil with contrasting native organic matter content. *International Journal of Agronomy*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8042961>. Hindawi

- SAS institute, *Statistical Analysis Systems, SAS/STAT Users Guide*, SAS institute Inc., Cary, CA, USA, 21th edition, 2009.
- Saqib, A.I., K. Ahmed., M.K. Bhatti., G. Qadir., M.Q. Nawaz., M.A. Anjum., A.R. Naseem., A.A. Sheikh., and B. Akhter. 2021. Management of brackish water for crop production in two different textured soils. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 34(3): 614-624. DOI| <https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjar/2021/34.3.614.624>.
- Selassie Y. G and G. Ayanna, 2013. Effects of different land use systems on selected physico-chemical properties of soils in northwestern Ethiopia," *Journal of Agricultural Science*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 112–120,
- Singh, I., P. Rawat, A. Kumar., and Parul Bhatt. 2018 Soil physico-biochemical properties under different agroforestry systems in Terai region of the Garhwal Hiamalayas. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 7(5): 2813-2821.
- Solomon. K., B. Bedadi., T. Erkossa., F. Yimer., L. Wogi. 2021. Spatio-Temporal Analysis of Land Use / Land Cover Changes in Cheha District, South – Central Ethiopia *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*, 11(7): 2021. ISSN 2224-3216, ISSN 2225-0948 (Online). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/554232>.
- TECİMEN, HüseyinBarış. 2019. Land use effect on nitrogen and phosphorus fluxes into and from soil. *Eurasian Journal of Forest Science* 23 (8):1557-1565.
- Tekalign, T. 1991. Soil, plant, water, fertilizer, animal manure and compost analysis. Working Document No. 13. International Livestock Research Center for Africa, Addis Ababa.
- Tufa, M., A. Melese, and W. Tena. 2019. Effects of land use types on selected soil physical and chemical properties: the case of Kuyu District, Ethiopia. *Eurasian journal of soil science* 8 (2): 94-109.
- United State Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2018. Soil Survey Manual By Soil Science Division Staff. United States Department of Agriculture. Handbook No. 18.
- Walkley and Black C.A. 1934. An examination of different methods for determining soil organic matter and the proposed modification by the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 37: 29-38.
- Weldesemayat, G., and G. Nandita.2020. Effects of land use on soil physicochemical properties at Barkachha, Mirzapur District, Varanasi, India. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 16(5):678-685.
- Willy, Daniel Kyalo, MiluMuyanga, Joseph Mbuvi, and Thomas Jayne. 2019. The effect of land use change on soil fertility parameters in densely populated areas of Kenya. *Geoderma* 343 254-262. *Journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/geoderma*.
- Willy., D.Kyalo., M. Muyanga., J. Mbuvi., and T. Jayne. 2019. The effect of land use change on soil fertility parameters in densely populated areas of Kenya. *Geoderma* 343: 254-262.
- Woldemariam, s., E. Elias., and G. Gebresamuel.2020. The Effects of Land Use and Landscape Position on SoilPhysicochemical Properties in a Semiarid Watershed, Northern Ethiopia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science* 2020:20. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8816248>. Hindaw
- Wubie, M. A., and M. Assen. 2020. Effects of land cover changes and slope gradient on soil quality in the Gumara watershed, Lake Tana basin of North–West Ethiopia. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environmen.t* 6 (1):85-97.
- W. T. Grant, "Exchangeable cations,1982." in *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, A. L. Page and R. H. M. D. R. Keeney, Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison WI, USA, 2nd edition,
- Yadda, T. A., and D. A. Zebire. 2019. Effects of different land-use managements on soil fertility status in Rift Valley Areas of Gamo- Konso Massifs, Ethiopia. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management* 23 (8): 1557-1565.
- Yahya, M., M. Afzal., M.Z Majeed., I. Sarwar, K. Shehzad., M. Luqman., and M.S Sher. Differential Impact of Land-Use, Season and Soil Characteristics on the Abundance of Edaphic Springtails (Insecta: Collembola) and Mites (Arachnida: Acari)." *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* 52(4): 1483-149.
- Yared, M., K. Kibebew, B. Bobe., and M. Muktar.2018. Soil organic carbon stock under different land use types in Kersa Sub Watershed, Eastern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 13 (24):1248-1256.
- Yared, M., K. Kibebew, B. Bobe., and M. Muktar. 2018. Soil organic carbon stock under different land use types in Kersa Sub Watershed, Eastern Ethiopia." *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 13 (24): 1248-1256.
- YitaferuB, AbewaA., and AmareT., "Expansion of eucalyptus woodlots in the fertile soils of the highlands of Ethiopia: could it be a treat on future cropland use?" *Journal of Agricultural Science*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 97–107, 2013.
- Yousaf, N., B. Khan, D. Flanagan and I.A. Mian. 2021. Effect of soil infiltration capacity, soil texture and rainfall on soil erosion occurring under different land use land cover (LULC) in Miandam Valley Swat, Pakistan. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*.
- Z. Kebebew and G. Ayele, 2010. "Profitability and household income contribution of growing *Eucalyptus globules* (Labill.) to smallholder farmers: the case of central highland of Oromia, Ethiopia," *European Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25–29,
- Z. Hailu, M. Sieghardt, and H. Schume, 2003. "Impact of Eucalyptus globulus and eucalyptus camaldulensis small scale plantations on chemical and physical soil properties and on soil hydrological parameter in the highland of Ethiopia: a comparison with other land-use systems," Final Project Report, p. 183,