

Doi: 10.58414/SCIENTIFICTEMPER.2024.15.2.58

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Comparative study of classical oratory traditions in East and West

J. B. Bheda

Abstract

Classical Rhetoric and Alamkara, originating from Greece, Rome, and India, have significantly shaped the art of oratory and persuasion across the globe. This paper delves into these ancient traditions, examining their core concepts and the evolution of rhetoric, aesthetics, and communication within their respective cultures. By comparing and contrasting Classical Rhetoric and Alamkara, the study highlights both the unique and shared contributions of these traditions to the field of oratory. Key similarities include their foundational role in shaping persuasive speech and their emphasis on stylistic devices and figures of speech. Differences are evident in their philosophical underpinnings and the cultural contexts in which they developed. Classical Rhetoric, grounded in Western philosophy, focuses on logical argumentation and ethical appeal, while Alamkara, deeply rooted in Indian aesthetics, emphasizes ornamental language and emotional expression. Through this comparative analysis, the paper underscores the enduring legacy of these traditions in contemporary rhetoric and their influence on modern communication practices. This exploration not only enhances our understanding of classical oratory but also offers valuable insights into the diverse approaches to effective communication across different cultural landscapes.

Keywords: Classical Rhetoric, Alamkara, Oratory Traditions, East and West, Persuasion, Aesthetics, Comparative Analysis.

Introduction

Classical Rhetoric and Alamkara are two ancient traditions that have shaped the art of oratory and persuasion in the East and West. With roots in Greece, Rome, and India, these traditions have influenced the development of rhetoric, aesthetics, and communication (Barabash, Y. 1977). This paper explores the key concepts, similarities, and differences between classical Rhetoric and Alamkara, highlighting their unique contributions to the world of oratory (Culler, J., 2000).

Oratory in Contemporary Contexts

In today's highly interconnected world, effective communication and persuasion are crucial in various fields, including business, politics, and education. Understanding the principles of classical Rhetoric and Alamkara can enhance

Department of Science & Humanities, L. E. College, Morbi

*Corresponding Author: J. B. Bheda, Department of Science & Humanities, L. E. College, Morbi, E-Mail: drjagrutibheda@iaar.co

How to cite this article: Bheda, J. B. (2024). Comparative study of classical oratory traditions in East and West. The Scientific Temper, **15**(2):2401-2403.

Doi: 10.58414/SCIENTIFICTEMPER.2024.15.2.58

Source of support: Nil Conflict of interest: None. one's ability to communicate and persuade audiences from diverse cultural backgrounds (Bhatt, V., Herrick, J., Dwivedi, R., 2007-2008). The integration of Western logical reasoning and Indian suggestive language can foster a more nuanced and effective approach to oratory (Gaillet, L. L., & Eble, M. F., 2016). For instance, in business, using logical reasoning to present data and statistics, combined with suggestive language to evoke emotions and create a lasting impact, can lead to more successful presentations and negotiations (Dixion, P., Jorden, J. E., 1971). In politics, understanding the cultural contexts and values of different audiences can help leaders tailor their messages and delivery to resonate with their constituents. In education, incorporating principles from both traditions can enrich teaching methods and improve student engagement (Heimann, B., 1937).

Classical Rhetoric

Classical Rhetoric, born in ancient Greece and Rome, is rooted in the works of Aristotle, Cicero, and Quintilian. This tradition emphasizes the five canons of invention. arrangement, style, memory, and delivery (Aristotle, Rhetoric) (Leitch, V. B., 2001). Ethos, pathos, and logos are the three pillars of persuasion. Classical Rhetoric prioritizes logical reasoning, emotional appeal, and the skill full use of language to persuade audiences. The Aristotelian concept of ethos, pathos, and logos remains a cornerstone of Western oratory (Chanda, I. (Ed.)., 2004). Ethos refers to the

Received: 02/04/2024 Accepted: 09/05/2024 Published: 29/06/2024 speaker's credibility and character, pathos to the emotional connection with the audience, and logos to the logical reasoning and evidence presented (Corbett, E. P. J., Blair, H., 1965). Cicero's *De Oratore* provides a comprehensive guide to the art of oratory, emphasizing the importance of understanding human nature, emotions, and the power of language (De, S. K., 2006).

Alamkara

Alamkara, a school of Indian aesthetics and rhetoric founded by Bhamaha, focuses on the artistic and rhetorical aspects of language (Bender, J., & Wellbery, D. E., Chari, V. K. 1990). This tradition emphasizes the use of figurative language, metaphors, and other literary devices to create a lasting impact on the audience. Key scholars like Dandi, Udbhatta, and Rudrata have contributed significantly to the development of Alamkara, emphasizing clarity, simplicity, suggestion, emotional resonance, and the skillful use of language. The tradition emphasizes the importance of suggestion and implication, rather than direct statement, to convey meaning and create a lasting impact on the audience (Krishnamoorthy, K., Canavan, P. J., 1974). Indian oratory often employs indirect suggestion and implication, reflecting the cultural values of humility, respect, and subtlety (Betai, R. S., 1977).

Comparative Analysis

While both traditions aim to persuade and engage audiences, classical Rhetoric emphasizes logical reasoning and emotional appeal, whereas Alamkara focuses on suggestive language and emotional resonance (Dasgupta, S. N., & De, S. K. (Eds.)., 1962). Western oratory often prioritizes direct argumentation, whereas Indian oratory employs indirect suggestion and implication (Lele, W. K., 1999). Cultural and philosophical contexts have shaped these differences. Individualism in the West emphasizes personal achievement, directness, and assertiveness, whereas collectivism in the East values harmony, subtlety, and respect for authority (Kapoor, K. 1998). The Western emphasis on logos and reasoning reflects the influence of Greek philosophy, whereas the Indian emphasis on suggestion and emotional resonance reflects the influence of Vedanta and Yoga philosophy (Dhayagude, S. K., 1981).

The Role of the Speaker in Classical Rhetoric and Alamkara

The role of the speaker differs significantly between classical Rhetoric and Alamkara (Edward, P. J. C., 1967). In classical Rhetoric, the speaker is seen as a persuader, using logical reasoning and emotional appeal to convince the audience (Barry, P. 2008). In Alamkara, the speaker is seen as a poet or artist, using language to create a lasting impact and evoke emotions in the audience (Jagruti B Bheda., 2023).

The Role of Emotions in Classical Rhetoric and Alamkara

Emotions play a crucial role in both classical Rhetoric and Alamkara (Eagleton, T., 2004). In classical Rhetoric, emotions are seen as a key element in persuasion, with Aristotle identifying pathos (emotional appeal) as one of the three pillars of persuasion, alongside ethos (credibility) and logos (logical reasoning) (Kushwaha, M. S. 1988). Similarly, in Alamkara, emotions are seen as a key aspect of suggestion and implication, with the use of metaphors, similes, and other literary devices aimed at evoking emotions in the audience (Kapoor, K. 2005).

However, there are differences in how emotions are approached in the two traditions (Corbett, E. P. J. 1971). Classical Rhetoric tends to focus on the manipulation of emotions to achieve a specific goal, whereas Alamkara emphasizes the importance of emotional resonance and the creation of a lasting impact on the audience (Krishnamoorthy, K. 1985).

The Use of Storytelling in Classical Rhetoric and Alamkara

Storytelling is another key element in both classical Rhetoric and Alamkara (Habib, M. A. R., 2005). In classical Rhetoric, storytelling is used to illustrate a point, make a argument more relatable, and engage the audience. Similarly, in Alamkara, storytelling is used to create a narrative that resonates with the audience and conveys the message in a subtle yet powerful way. However, there are differences in how storytelling is approached in the two traditions (Deshpande, G. 1973). Classical Rhetoric tends to focus on the use of logical reasoning and evidence to support the story, whereas Alamkara emphasizes the importance of suggestion and implication in the narrative (Lavine, T. Z., 1984).

Challenges and Opportunities

While classical Rhetoric and Alamkara offer valuable insights into the art of persuasion, there are challenges in applying these traditions in contemporary contexts (Gerald, M. P., et al., 1991). One challenge is adapting ancient principles to modern technologies and media, such as social media and virtual communication (Kennedy, G. A., 1994).

Another challenge is bridging cultural and philosophical differences between Eastern and Western audiences (Lavine, T. Z., 1984). However, these challenges also present opportunities for innovation and growth (Jagruti B Bheda., 2019). By embracing the strengths of both traditions and adapting them to modern contexts, we can foster more effective communication and persuasion in an increasingly interconnected world (Dvivedi, D., 2003).

Conclusion

Classical Rhetoric and Alamkara represent two rich traditions of oratory and persuasion, each with unique strengths and

emphases. By exploring their similarities and differences, we can deepen our understanding of the art of persuasion and its cultural contexts. As we continue to navigate diverse global perspectives, the study of classical Rhetoric and Alamkara offers valuable insights for effective communication and engagement. By embracing both traditions, we can enrich our understanding of human communication and the art of persuasion. The integration of Western logical reasoning and Indian suggestive language can foster a more nuanced and effective approach to oratory. As we strive to communicate across cultures and borders, the study of classical Rhetoric and Alamkara provides a powerful tool for building bridges and fostering understanding.

In an increasingly interconnected world, the art of persuasion and effective communication are essential for building relationships, resolving conflicts, and addressing global challenges. By exploring the rich traditions of classical Rhetoric and Alamkara, we can cultivate a deeper understanding of human communication and the art of persuasion, ultimately enriching our personal and professional lives.

Acknowledgment

We thank the anonymous referee for providing us with insightful remarks and ideas.

References

- Bailey, D. (1965). Essays on rhetoric. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Barabash, Y. (1977). Aesthetics and poetics. Moscow: Progress Publishers.
- Barry, P. (2008). Beginning theory (2nd ed.). New Delhi: Viva Books. Bender, J., & Wellbery, D. E. (1990). The ends of rhetoric: History, theory, practice. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Betai, R. S. (1977). Bhartiya sahitya vicar manjusa (Anthology of Indian literary criticism) (Vol. II). Ahmedabad: University Granth Nirman Board.
- Bhatt, V. (2007-2008). Sanskrit vakya sanracna (Gujarati). Ahmadabad: Saraswati Pustak Bhandar.
- Blair, H. (1965). The origin & nature of figurative language. In D. Bailey (Ed.), Essays on rhetoric (pp. xx-xx). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Canavan, P. J. (1974). Rhetoric & literature. New York, NY: McGraw Hill Book Company.
- Chakrabarti, T. (1971). Indian aesthetics and science of language. Calcutta: Sanskrit Pustak Bhandar.
- Chanda, I. (Ed.). (2004). Literary historiography. Kolkata: Jadavpur University.
- Chari, V. K. (1990). Sanskrit criticism. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawaii Press.
- Corbett, E. P. J. (1965). Classical rhetoric for modern students. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Corbett, E. P. J. (1971). Classical rhetoric for the modern student (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Culler, J. (2000). Literary theory: A very short introduction. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

- Dasgupta, S. N., & De, S. K. (Eds.). (1962). A history of Sanskrit literature: Classical period (Vol. 1). Calcutta: University of Calcutta Press.
- De, S. K. (2006). History of Sanskrit poetics (1st revised ed.). Delhi: Oriental Book Centre.
- Deshpande, G. (1973). Bhartiya sahitya sharshtra (Trans. J. Dave). Ahmedabad: Vora Publication.
- Dhayagude, S. K. (1981). Western and Indian poetics: A comparative study. Pune: Bhandarkar Oriental Research.
- Dixion, P. (1971). Rhetoric: The critical idiom. London: Methuen & Co Ltd.
- Dvivedi, D. (2003). Sanskrit kavyasastra me alamkaro ka vikas (Vol. I). Delhi: Radha Publications.
- Dwivedi, R. (2007). Sanskrit kavyasastra ka alochnatmak itihas (A critical history of Sanskrit aesthetic). Varanasi: Kalidas Sansthan.
- Eagleton, T. (2004). After theory. London: Penguin Books.
- Edward, P. J. C. (1967). A new look at old rhetoric. In R. Gorrell (Ed.), Rhetoric: Theories for application (pp. xx-xx). Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Gaillet, L. L., & Eble, M. F. (2016). Primary research and writing: People, places, and spaces. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gerald, M. P., et al. (1991). Communication incompetencies: A theory of training oral performance behavior. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Habib, M. A. R. (2005). A history of literary criticism and theory: From Plato to present. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Heimann, B. (1937). Indian and Western philosophy: A study in contrasts. London: George Allen & Unwin.
- Herrick, J. (2008). The history and theory of rhetoric. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Jagruti B Bheda. (2023). Impact of Internet on language and literature. Vidhyayana An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal ISSN 2454-8596, 8(6). https://doi.org/10.58213/vidhyayana.v8i6.903
- Jagruti B Bheda. (2019). Greek Orators on Rhetoric. Vidhyayana An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal ISSN 2454-8596, 4(4). https://doi.org/10.58213/vidhyayana.v4i4.391
- Jorden, J. E. (1971). Questions of rhetoric. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Kane, P. V. (1987). History of Sanskrit poetics (4th ed.). Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Kapoor, K. (1998). Literary theory: Indian conceptual framework. Delhi: Replika Press Private Ltd.
- Kapoor, K. (2005). Sanskrit studies. New Delhi: D.K. Printworld.
- Kennedy, G. A. (1994). A new history of classical rhetoric. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Krishnamoorthy, K. (1974). Essays in Sanskrit criticism. Dharwad: Karnataka University.
- Krishnamoorthy, K. (1985). Indian literary theories: A reappraisal. Delhi: Meharchand Lachmandas.
- Kushwaha, M. S. (1988). Indian poetics and Western thought. Agra: Agra Publishing House.
- Lavine, T. Z. (1984). From Socrates to Sartre: The philosophic quest. New York, NY: Bantam Books.
- Leitch, V. B. (2001). The Norton anthology of theory & criticism. New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Lele, W. K. (1999). Bhamaha's Kavyalamkara: A stylistical & methodological study. Pune: Manasman Prakashan.